SINGLE BENCH

1 Sol (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1657/2005

PETITIONERS

(1) **Johanram Sinha**
S/o Manoharam Sinha
Aged about 45 years, Caste- Kullar,
H.C-08, P.S- Lasaguda
Dist- Beejapur (C.G)

(2) Vinod Singh
S/o Sada Singh Thakur
Aged about 39 years, Caste- Khatri
Head Constable No- 538
P.S- Bacheli,
Dist- Dantewada (C.G)

(3) Chetan Dubey
S/o Late N.P.Dubey
Aged about 33 years, Caste- Bhraman
Head Constable No- 63 STF,
P.S- Kirandul, Dist- Dantewada (C.G)

(4) Kanheyalal Sahu
S/o Late Sukhiram Sahu
Aged about 37 years, Caste- Teli
Constable No- 532
P.S- Kirandul, Dist- Dantewada (C.G)

(5) Rajbahadur Yadav
S/o Ayodhya Prasad
Aged about 38 years, Caste- Rawat
Head Constable No- 33,
P.S- Kotwali, Dantewada
Dist- Dantewada (C.G)

P.R. No. 1554/05
Presented by Shri H.S. Ahluwalia
dated 12-04-05

RECEIVED-COPY
12/04/05
C... & S. Bilaspur

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through Secretary,
Department Of Home (Police)
Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G)

2. Director General of Police
Police HQ, Raipur (C.G)

3. Asst. Inspector General of Police
(Adminstration), Police HQ
Raipur (C.G)

4. Superintendent of Police
Dantewada, South Baster (C.G)

(4)

2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT ORDER OF DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

(48)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 1657/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24.6.2005 Shri Harpreet Singh Ahluwalia, counsel for the petitioners. Shri G. K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate General for the respondents/State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition was filed seeking a direction from this Court to relieve the petitioners from the place of working to the new transferred place on the basis of the transfer order dated 22.8.2004. After notice the respondents have filed return stating that all the writ petitioners who were transferred earlier vide order dated 22.8.2004 have been relieved vide order dated 17.6.2005. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that except the petitioner No.1 other petitioners have been relieved but the petitioner No.1 has not been served with any relieving order. The respondents are accordingly directed to serve a copy of the relieving order on the petitioner No.1 so that he can join the new transferred place of posting. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)